Case 1:16-cv-07128-UA Document 14 Filed 09/15/16 Page 1 5/47 T. J. PART T.

State of New York, held at the Courthouse, 60 Centre Street, N	State of New York, held at the County Courthouse, 60 Centre Street, New York, New York, on theof September, 2016
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 915 K
PATRIARCH PARTNERS XV, LLC and OCTALUNA LLC,	CASE NO. 1:16-CV-07218
Plaintiffs,	: Indox No. 654819 /2016 .
v .	: ZAS Part
U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION and MBIA INSURANCE CORPORATION,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
Defendants.	: x

The Court having considered the Summons and Verified Complaint in this action, to be utilized as an Afridavit pursuant to CPUR 105(u); the Affirmation of Mark A. Kirsch, dated September 12, 2016, and exhibits annexed thereto; the Affidavit of John H. Reohr IV, and exhibits annexed thereto; the Affidavit of Steven L. Schwarcz, and exhibits annexed thereto; and the memorandum of law of Plaintiffs Patriarch Partners XV, LLC and Octaluna LLC (collectively, "Plaintiffs") in support of their application by order to show cause for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction in respect of a proposed September 15, 2016 sale by the Trustee (the "Proposed Sale") of collateral (the "Collateral") held by the Trustee on behalf of non-parties Zohar CDO 2003-1, Limited, Zohar CDO 2003-1, Corp., and/or Zohar 2003-1, LLC

agurent down freen lad on the record in Ctim 23 Aon Septelles 13, 2016, (collectively, "Zohar I"); and having found sufficient reason alleged and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Defendants U.S. Bank National Association ("U.S. Bank" or the

"Trustee") and MBIA Insurance Corporation ("MBIA") (collectively, "Defendants"), or Defendants' attorneys, show cause before this Court, at IAS Part District Court For The Southern District of the State of New York, County of New York, located at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York, 500 Pearl Street 10007, on the α day of October, 2016 at \sqrt{V} thereafter as counsel can be heard, why an order should not be issued, pursuant to CPER 3106(a), 3107, and 3

- Granting Plaintiffs a preliminarily injunction, pending trial and determination of Plaintiffs' application for a permanent injunction, enjoining and restraining Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, officers, attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with them, from (i) proceeding in any way with and/or consummating the Trustee's Proposed Sale of the Collateral; (ii) directing and/or instructing any person (including but not limited to any liquidation agent appointed by the Trustee) to proceed in any way with and/or consummate the Trustee's Proposed Sale of the Collateral; (iii) proceeding in any way with and/or consummating any future proposed sale of the Collateral or any part thereof; or (iv) directing and/or instructing any person to proceed in any way with and/or consummate any future proposed sale of the Collateral;
- b. Compelling the Trustee to provide Plaintiffs with all books and records concerning the Proposed Sale and any related marketing efforts;

- c. Granting Plaintiffs expedited discovery in connection with their preliminary injunction application in advance of any hearing on that application; and
- d. Granting Plaintiffs such other relief as this Court deems just and proper; and it is further

ORDERED that, pending the hearing and determination of Plaintiffs' application for a preliminary injunction, Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, officers, attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with them are temporarily enjoined and restrained from (i) proceeding in any way with and/or consummating the Trustee's Proposed Sale of the Collateral; (ii) directing and/or instructing any person (including but not limited to any liquidation agent appointed by the Trustee) to proceed in any way with and/or consummate the Trustee's Proposed Sale of the Collateral; (iii) proceeding in any way with and/or consummating any future proposed sale of the Collateral or any part thereof; or (iv) directing and/or instructing any person to proceed in any way with and/or consummate any future proposed sale of the Collateral or any part thereof; and it is further

Plaintiff shall be permitted to serve requests for production of documents (in the form attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2), and to notice the deposition of one representative of each Defendant, upon entry of this Order, and that Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to Plaintiffs' requests no later than fourteen days prior to the date set forth below for oral argument on Plaintiffs' application for a preliminary injunction (the "Oral Argument Date"), and shall produce their representatives for deposition within seven days prior to the Oral Argument Date; and it is further

ORDERED that service upon Defendants of a copy of this Order to Show Cause, together
with the papers upon which it was granted, along with the Summons and Verified Complaint, by
hand, facsimile, or electronic mail on or before 5:00 p.m. on the $\frac{19}{12}$ day of September, 2016,
ordered good and sufficient service thereof; and it is further for a pelinism in the formal or by
electronic mail on Mark A. Kirsch, of the law firm Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, 200 Park
Avenue, New York, New York, 10166, counsel for Plaintiffs, on or before D. DO North on the
Aday of September, 2016; and it is further
ORDERED that reply papers, if any, be served upon Defendants, or their attorneys, if any, by hand or email delivery on or before the state of the s
)2)